

Georgia Technical Assistance

PORTFOLIO RATING SCALE

	RUBRIC DESCRIPTION
4—exceptional evidence <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evaluation • Values • Innovation • Creativity • Self-assessment • Ongoing development • Better outcomes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Applicant creates innovative strategies — (<i>innovation, creativity, better outcomes</i>) ▪ Applicant uses reflective practices to modify, adapt, and improve technical assistance strategies and approaches — (<i>evaluation, self-assessment, on-going development</i>) ▪ All levels one, two, and three criteria
3—strong evidence <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Analysis/Synthesis • Knows how to grow it • Significance • Compare/contrast • Imbedded in practice • Reflective • Influence • Effectiveness 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Applicant explains the process used to guide actions and follow up. — (<i>analysis, compare, contrast, reflection, embedded practice</i>) ▪ Portfolio entry provides evidence of applicant’s ongoing technical assistance process <u>and</u> follow up. ▪ All levels one and two criteria <i>Typically is takes several pieces of evidence for the portfolio entry to effectively “tell the story.”</i>
2—moderate evidence <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Application • Knows how to do it 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Applicant explains how the competencies are put into practice when delivering technical assistance— (<i>knowledgeably describes practices</i>) ▪ Portfolio entry demonstrates how the competencies are put into practice when delivering technical assistance—(<i>application</i>) ▪ All level one criteria
1—minimal evidence <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Comprehension • Knowledge 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Applicant describes evidence ▪ Evidence is related to competencies
0—no evidence	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Entry not submitted.